FORM LETTER A #15-18

Madison Brame

From: snook=boyerpentek.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Leonard Documents in

<snook@boyerpentek.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2024 10:26 AM

To: IRRC

Subject: Approve the PUC's enhanced pipeline safety standards

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing in support of the enhanced safety standards recently approved by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the construction, operation, maintenance, accident reporting, and public awareness for intrastate hazardous liquid pipelines. Please approve these long-overdue stronger standards.

According to the PUC, there have been 71 hazardous liquid pipeline accidents in Pennsylvania since 2010 that resulted in a release or spill. Between just 2017 and 2018, one pipeline operator, Energy Transfer, had four accidents in Pennsylvania: a pinhole leak on ME1 in Morgantown, an explosion on Revolution in Butler County, an excavator striking ME2 in Delaware County, and a leak on the 12" pipeline that was later repurposed for Mariner East. The frequency of these leaks is frightening, knowing that a pipeline like Mariner East has the potential for a catastrophic event in densely populated communities.

The proposed enhanced standards do not address all hazardous liquid pipeline concerns, but they would address some of those witnessed across Pennsylvania during Mariner East construction. Here are a few examples:

- Residents witnessed construction failures countless times on Mariner East that resulted in inadvertent returns of drilling fluids, subsidence events, and contamination of water wells, rivers and lakes as a result of poor planning and inadequate analysis beforehand of geological and environmental impacts.
- In a number of locations, particularly in High Consequence Areas in Chester County and Delaware County, Mariner East pipes were installed almost touching, much closer than PHMSA's suggested twelve inches apart.
- Across Pennsylvania, property owners experienced unscrupulous behavior by land agents for Mariner East. Property owners were told misinformation and outright lies, and felt threatened and pressured into signing easements.

Sincerely,

Leonard Douglass 923 Beaver St Clairton PA, 15025-1307

Madison Brame

From: asbellantiques=dejazzd.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of M Asbell

<asbellantiques@dejazzd.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2024 10:18 AM

To: IRRC

Subject: Approve the PUC's enhanced pipeline safety standards

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing in support of the enhanced safety standards recently approved by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the construction, operation, maintenance, accident reporting, and public awareness for intrastate hazardous liquid pipelines. Please approve these long-overdue stronger standards.

According to the PUC, there have been 71 hazardous liquid pipeline accidents in Pennsylvania since 2010 that resulted in a release or spill. Between just 2017 and 2018, one pipeline operator, Energy Transfer, had four accidents in Pennsylvania: a pinhole leak on ME1 in Morgantown, an explosion on Revolution in Butler County, an excavator striking ME2 in Delaware County, and a leak on the 12" pipeline that was later repurposed for Mariner East. The frequency of these leaks is frightening, knowing that a pipeline like Mariner East has the potential for a catastrophic event in densely populated communities.

The proposed enhanced standards do not address all hazardous liquid pipeline concerns, but they would address some of those witnessed across Pennsylvania during Mariner East construction. Here are a few examples:

- Residents witnessed construction failures countless times on Mariner East that resulted in inadvertent returns of drilling fluids, subsidence events, and contamination of water wells, rivers and lakes as a result of poor planning and inadequate analysis beforehand of geological and environmental impacts.
- In a number of locations, particularly in High Consequence Areas in Chester County and Delaware County, Mariner East pipes were installed almost touching, much closer than PHMSA's suggested twelve inches apart.
- Across Pennsylvania, property owners experienced unscrupulous behavior by land agents for Mariner East. Property owners were told misinformation and outright lies, and felt threatened and pressured into signing easements.

Sincerely,

M Asbell 247 MOUNTAIN MARY ROAD Boyertown PA, 19512-7822

Madison Brame

From: rdarezzo=bellsouth.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Richard D'Arezzo

<rdarezzo@bellsouth.net>

Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2024 11:04 AM

To: IRRC

Subject: Approve the PUC's enhanced pipeline safety standards

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing in support of the enhanced safety standards recently approved by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the construction, operation, maintenance, accident reporting, and public awareness for intrastate hazardous liquid pipelines. Please approve these long-overdue stronger standards.

According to the PUC, there have been 71 hazardous liquid pipeline accidents in Pennsylvania since 2010 that resulted in a release or spill. Between just 2017 and 2018, one pipeline operator, Energy Transfer, had four accidents in Pennsylvania: a pinhole leak on ME1 in Morgantown, an explosion on Revolution in Butler County, an excavator striking ME2 in Delaware County, and a leak on the 12" pipeline that was later repurposed for Mariner East. The frequency of these leaks is frightening, knowing that a pipeline like Mariner East has the potential for a catastrophic event in densely populated communities.

The proposed enhanced standards do not address all hazardous liquid pipeline concerns, but they would address some of those witnessed across Pennsylvania during Mariner East construction. Here are a few examples:

- Residents witnessed construction failures countless times on Mariner East that resulted in inadvertent returns of drilling fluids, subsidence events, and contamination of water wells, rivers and lakes as a result of poor planning and inadequate analysis beforehand of geological and environmental impacts.
- In a number of locations, particularly in High Consequence Areas in Chester County and Delaware County, Mariner East pipes were installed almost touching, much closer than PHMSA's suggested twelve inches apart.
- Across Pennsylvania, property owners experienced unscrupulous behavior by land agents for Mariner East. Property owners were told misinformation and outright lies, and felt threatened and pressured into signing easements.

Sincerely,

Richard D'Arezzo 601 Wickshire Cir. Lititz PA, 17543-7668

Madison Brame

From: smartin=vseinc.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Sharon Martin

<smartin@vseinc.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2024 1:48 PM

To: IRRC

Subject: Approve the PUC's enhanced pipeline safety standards

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing in support of the enhanced safety standards recently approved by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the construction, operation, maintenance, accident reporting, and public awareness for intrastate hazardous liquid pipelines. Please approve these long-overdue stronger standards.

According to the PUC, there have been 71 hazardous liquid pipeline accidents in Pennsylvania since 2010 that resulted in a release or spill. Between just 2017 and 2018, one pipeline operator, Energy Transfer, had four accidents in Pennsylvania: a pinhole leak on ME1 in Morgantown, an explosion on Revolution in Butler County, an excavator striking ME2 in Delaware County, and a leak on the 12" pipeline that was later repurposed for Mariner East. The frequency of these leaks is frightening, knowing that a pipeline like Mariner East has the potential for a catastrophic event in densely populated communities.

The proposed enhanced standards do not address all hazardous liquid pipeline concerns, but they would address some of those witnessed across Pennsylvania during Mariner East construction. Here are a few examples:

- Residents witnessed construction failures countless times on Mariner East that resulted in inadvertent returns of drilling fluids, subsidence events, and contamination of water wells, rivers and lakes as a result of poor planning and inadequate analysis beforehand of geological and environmental impacts.
- In a number of locations, particularly in High Consequence Areas in Chester County and Delaware County, Mariner East pipes were installed almost touching, much closer than PHMSA's suggested twelve inches apart.
- Across Pennsylvania, property owners experienced unscrupulous behavior by land agents for Mariner East. Property owners were told misinformation and outright lies, and felt threatened and pressured into signing easements.

Sincerely,

Sharon Martin 1489 Graeme Way Hartsville PA, 18974-1010